

CHECKLIST

FOR WRITING ABSTRACT APPLICATIONS

PR	ROJECT TITLE	MA	ATERIALS AND METHODS
	Is my chosen title concise (clear and short)? Does the title summarise the research idea?		Have I chosen sound and robust methods (design of experiments) to answer the specific aims and hypothesis?
	Does every word aid understanding (serve a useful purpose)? Have I avoided abbreviations?		Are the study design, model and materials appropriate for evaluating the primary outcome of the study?
	Trave i avolueu appreviations:		If applicable, have I described the following: number of groups, test and control groups,
STUDY BACKGROUND/INTRODUCTION			sample sizes, outcome variables, study endpoints randomisation, blinding and calibration?
	Have I briefly summarised the current state of knowledge of the topic with relevant gaps,		Have I described how the data will be analysed?
	shortcomings or limitations? Have I described the rationale for conducting this study, for example by explaining how the generated knowledge will fill an existing gap?		In case of statistical analysis: have I formulated a null hypothesis that connects an independent with a dependent variable?
		FA	CILITIES AND EXPERTISE
CL	INICAL RELEVANCE Does my research fulfil a translational approach? Have I stated how my findings may affect clinical practice?		Do I have the institutional support to execute the proposed research? Have I mentioned the team members needed to successfully conduct the study, plus their relevant expertise?
	SEARCH QUESTION, HYPOTHESIS ID AIMS OF THE PROJECT		Do I have access to the required equipment and the budget for it?
	Is my research question innovative? Is my overall hypothesis testable, i.e. can I generate data to support or disprove my hypothesis?		Are collaborations in place to access remote equipment? Have I described them?
	Is the hypothesis linked to the primary outcome	RE	FERENCES
	of the study? Are my aims specific (independent), measura-		Do I only use the most important and up-to-date references?
	ble, relevant and achievable in the allowed time		Do I keep references to a minimum?



CHECKLIST

FOR WRITING MAIN APPLICATIONS

The above checklist can be used in combination with this one, which places more emphasis on methodological details, project and budget plan.

M	ATERIALS AND METHODS	
	Have I described the rationale for using specific materials and methods?	
	If applicable: have I adequately described the method of randomisation and blinding?	
	If applicable: have I described how the data will be analysed statistically (sample size and power calculation, etc.)?	
	Have I considered ethical viewpoints?	
DE	ACCITION AND	
Ph	ROJECT PLAN	
	Have I chosen an appropriate and realistic timeline, incl. milestones and work packages?	
	Did I show alternative pathways for overcoming challenges/obstacles within the research plan?	
	Did I show when and how collaborators are involved and the extent of their contribution?	
BU	JDGET PLAN	
	Is the budget realistic for this type of study?	
	Are all required items for the study listed and budgeted for?	
	In case of supporting funds, is it clear which parts of the proposed study are covered by which funding source?	
	Did I provide supporting letters for materials if they are sponsored by industrial partners?	
	Did I check the funder's budget guidelines and is my budget compliant with these guidelines?	
	Am I familiar with the overhead regulations of my institution?	
	Have I reviewed the final budget with my institution's finance officer?	



CHECKLIST

FOR GENERAL PREPARATION OF A RESEARCH PROPOSAL

Ph	REPARE
	Have I set a personal deadline in advance of the submission deadline?
	Have I collaborated with co-workers, mentors, finance or grant administration officers?
ST	RUCTURE
	Have I structured my proposal into paragraphs (ideas) that have a logical flow?
	Do these paragraphs contain an introduction, main idea and link to the next paragraph?
ILI	LUSTRATE
	Do I illustrate complex issues with images and/or graphs?
	Do I use image captions or graph legends that are easy to understand?
WI	RITE
	Do I write concisely, yet sufficiently?
	Do I write in short sentences that are easy to follow?
	Do I write for the non-expert?
	Do I use and repeat chosen terminology?
	Do I keep references to a minimum?
RE	WRITE
	Have I proofread my proposal, also from a reviewer's perspective?
	Have others (outside my core expertise) proofread for ease of understanding?
	Have I checked if my use of English is clear and correct?
	Have I checked that the formatting meets the funder's guidelines?
SU	IBMIT CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
	Have I investigated the funder's submission system prior to the day of submission?
	Do I exactly know what is required for a successful submission?
	Do I use the templates that are provided by the funding body?
П	Have I reserved enough time to enter and upload all my information?